Facultad de Ciencias Químico Biológicas Unidad Académica de Medicina Unidad de Investigación Especializada en Microbiología

#### Maestría en Ciencias Biomédicas

# Análisis de biotipos, factores de virulencia y sensibilidad a antibióticos en cepas de *Gardnerella vaginalis* asociadas y no asociadas a Vaginosis Bacteriana

#### TESIS

Que para obtener el título de

Maestría en Ciencias Biomédicas

PRESENTA:

QBP. Ana Karen Estrada Moreno

Directora: Dra. Amalia Vences Velázquez

Codirectora: M. en C. Karen Cortés Sarabia

Chilpancingo de los Bravo, Gro., noviembre del 2018



Coordinación 2014-2018

#### UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE GUERRERO FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS QUÍMICO BIOLÓGICAS FACULTAD DE MEDICINA UNIDAD DE INVESTIGACIÓN ESPECIALIZADA EN MICROBIOLOGÍA

# MAESTRÍA EN CIENCIAS BIOMÉDICAS

#### APROBACIÓN DE TESIS

En la ciudad de Chilpancingo, Guerrero, siendo los 18 días del mes de junio de dos mil dieciocho se reunieron los miembros del Comité Tutoral designado por la Academia de Posgrado de la Maestría en Ciencias Biomédicas, para examinar la tesis titulada "Análisis de biotipos, factores de virulencia y sensibilidad a antibióticos en cepas de Gardnerella vaginalis asociadas y no asociadas a Vaginosis Bacteriana", presentada por la alumna Ana Karen Estrada Moreno, para obtener el Grado de Maestría en Ciencias Biomédicas. Después del análisis correspondiente, los miembros del comité manifiestan su aprobación de la tesis, autorizan la impresión final de la misma y aceptan que, cuando se satisfagan los requisitos señalados en el Reglamento General de Estudios de Posgrado e Investigación Vigente, se proceda a la presentación del examen de grado.

El Comité Tutora Amalia Vences Velázguez Dirección de tesis Dra. Eugenia Flores Álfaro Dra. Natividad Castro Alarcón Dra. Graciela Castro Escarpulli Dra. Luz del Carmen Alarcón Romero Dr. Oscar del Moral Hernández Dra. Isela Parra Rojas Sta del Posgrado de la Facultad de Director de la Facultad de Ciencias Químico Posgrade iencias Químico Biológicas Biológicas

Este trabajo fue realizado en el Laboratorio de Investigación en Inmunobiología y Diagnóstico Molecular de la Facultad de Ciencias Químico Biológicas (FCQB) de la Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero. Se contó con la colaboración del Laboratorio de Investigación de Citopatología e Inmunohistoquímica y el Servicio Integral de la Detección Oportuna de VPH y Cáncer Cervicouterino de la FCQB.

#### Bajo la dirección de:

Dra. Amalia Vences Velázquez

#### La codirección de:

M. en C. Karen Cortés Sarabia

#### Con la asesoría de:

Dra. Luz del Carmen Alarcón Romero

Dra. Eugenia Flores Alfaro

Dra. Natividad Castro Alarcón

Dra. Graciela Castro Escarpulli

Durante el periodo en que se cursó la Maestría en Ciencias Biomédicas, la C. Ana Karen Estrada Moreno, recibió beca CONACYT con No. De registro 777249

#### Agradecimientos

A mi directora de tesis **Dra. Amalia Vences Velázquez** por haberme permitido pertenecer a su laboratorio, por la confianza y por darme el apoyo dado para realizar mi proyecto. Muchas Gracias, espero me permita seguir trabajando con usted.

A mi codirectora **M. en C. Karen Cortés Sarabia**, muchas gracias por haberme guiado en el transcurso de mi proyecto, desde la licenciatura. Muchas gracias por seguir ayudándome cada vez que lo necesitaba y resolver todas mis dudas. Muchas gracias.

A la **Dra. Luz del Carmen Alarcón Romero** por haber dado las facilidades para que nos proporcionaran las muestras clínicas necesarias para la realización del proyecto. Muchas Gracias.

A la **Dra. Eugenia Flores Alfaro**, por las sesiones de seminario de investigación y haberme guiado durante los dos años de la maestría. Muchas gracias.

A la **Dra. Graciela Castro Escarpulli y Dra. Natividad Castro Alarcón**, por haber proporcionado observaciones y asesoramiento para una mejor redacción de este escrito.

A Pao, por su valiosa atención y apoyo otorgado durante la maestría.

#### **Dedicatorias**

A mis papas **Alejandro Estrada y Griselda Moreno**, por siempre apoyarme en mis decisiones, sé que siempre que los necesite estarán para mí. Los amo y espero que estén conmigo mucho tiempo más.

A mi hermana **Anel Estrada**, por estar siempre al pendiente de mí, por alimentarme, por protegerme, por apoyarme en cualquier cosa y sobre todo por no pegarme Te amo muchísimo. A **Lázaro Bruno**, porque me caes muy bien, y te aprecio mucho. A mi hermanito **Alexis,** Te amo y extraño.

A **Karen Cortés**, por todo el tiempo que me dedicaste, por revisar tantas veces mi escrito, y aunque a veces te hago enfadar, siempre me ayudaste y resolviste mis dudas, y también gracias por los Sojus ③. De una no-blink a otra no-blink.

A **Lesli** y **Alma**. Estoy muy agradecida por haberlas conocido, por haberme apoyado en momentos muy difíciles para mí, las quiero mucho, mucho.

A Carlos MF, Ana OC, Cynthia RN, Alondra CS, Isabela Bu y Miying GoCe; gracias por todos los momentos que hemos pasado juntos, tanto en el laboratorio como en las juntas de trabajo ③. Los quiero mucho y espero que sigamos siendo amigos.

"Análisis de biotipos, factores de virulencia y sensibilidad a antibióticos en cepas de *Gardnerella vaginalis* asociadas y no asociadas a Vaginosis Bacteriana"

## **Table of contents**

| 1 | Abstract              | 2  |
|---|-----------------------|----|
| 2 | Introduction          | 3  |
| 3 | Materials and methods | 5  |
| 4 | Results               | 9  |
| 5 | Discussion            | 11 |
| 6 | References            | 16 |
| 7 | Tables                | 25 |

Dear Dr. Ana Karen Estrada-Moreno,

You have been listed as a Co-Author of the following submission:

Journal: Anaerobe

Title: Biotypes, virulence factors and sensitivity to antibiotics in strains of *Gardnerella vaginalis* associated with normal microbiota and Bacterial Vaginosis

Corresponding Author: Amalia Vences-Velázquez

Co-Authors: Ana Karen Estrada-Moreno, Ms; Karen Cortés-Sarabia, Ms; Luz del Carmen Alarcón-Romero, PhD; Natividad Castro-Alarcón, PhD; Eugenia Flores-Alfaro, PhD; Isela Parra-Rojas, PhD;

Thank you,

Anaerobe

## Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Anaerobe Manuscript Draft

#### Manuscript Number:

Title: Biotypes, virulence factors and sensitivity to antibiotics in strains of Gardnerella vaginalis associated with normal microbiota and Bacterial Vaginosis

Article Type: Research Paper

Section/Category: Pathogenesis and toxins

Keywords: Gardnerella vaginalis, normal microbiota, bacterial vaginosis,

virulence factors, biotypes, sensitivity to antibiotics

Corresponding Author: Professor Amalia Vences-Velázquez, Ph.D

Corresponding Author's Institution: Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero

First Author: Ana Karen Estrada-Moreno, Ms

Order of Authors: Ana Karen Estrada-Moreno, Ms; Karen Cortés-Sarabia, Ms; Luz del Carmen Alarcón-Romero, PhD; Natividad Castro-Alarcón, PhD; Eugenia Flores-Alfaro, PhD; Isela Parra-Rojas, PhD; Amalia Vences-Velázquez, Ph.D

Abstract: Introduction: Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is a polymicrobial infection considered as a public health problem that affects women in reproductive age; its main etiologic agent is Gardnerella vaginalis. This bacterium is divided into eight biotypes and produces several virulence factors that favor the development of BV. In addition, an increased resistance to conventional treatment has been reported, which favors the failure to treatment and disease recurrence. Objectives: Analyze the relationship between the virulence factors production, antibiotics resistance and biotypes of G. vaginalis strains associated with normal microbiota (NM) and BV. Materials and methods: We analyzed 150 strains of G. vaginalis; biotyping, biofilm, prolidase, phospholipase C and vaginolysin production were determinated. Additionally, metronidazole and clindamycin resistance was performed by the Kirby-Bauer method and secnidazole by minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). Results: Biotypes 1 (18%), 2 (13.3%), 5 (17.3%) and 6 (51.3%) were identified. The BVassociated strains produce more biofilm (p=0.026) and have more lithic capacity (p=0.043) that NM-associated strains. No significant difference between virulence factors production and biotypes was observed, with the exception of biotype 2 in phospholipase C production (p=0.0001). BVassociated strains produce more virulence factors in comparison with normal microbiota, especially biotypes 1 and 6, and finally a high resistance rate to metronidazole (100%), secnidazole (95.3%) and clindamycin (90.6%) was observed. Conclusion: A great diversity in the virulence factors production between NM and BV-associated strains was observed, which could contribute during the development of BV; additionally, the high resistance rate may impact in the treatment failure and disease recurrence.

Suggested Reviewers: Daniele Maria Knupp de Souza PhD

Department of Parasitology, Microbiology and Immunology,, Federal University of Juiz de Fora daniknupp@yahoo.com.br
Have worked before with antibiotic resistence

Christina A. Muzny MD Assistant Professor of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Alabama at Birmingham cmuzny@uab.edu Expertise in the area

Milda Pleckaityte PhD Institute of Biotechnology, Vilnius University milda.pleckaityte@bti.vu.lt Expertise in the area

Nuno Cerca PhD Laboratory of Research in Biofilms Rosário Oliveira (LIBRO), University of Minho nunocerca@ceb.uminho.pt Expertise in the area

## Facultad de Ciencias Químico Biológicas

Av. Lázaro Cárdenas S/n. Ciudad Universitaria, Chilpancingo, Guerrero. México. C.P. 39089

Chilpancingo Guerrero, México., November 5th, 2018

E. Nagy

**Chief editor of Anaerobes** 

The authors Ana Karen Estrada-Moreno, Karen Cortés-Sarabia, Luz del Carmen Alarcón-Romero, Natividad Castro-Alarcón, Eugenia Flores-Alfaro, Isela Parra-Rojas, Amalia Vences-Velázquez submit the manuscript entitled: "Biotypes, virulence factors and sensitivity to antibiotics in strains of *Gardnerella vaginalis* associated with normal microbiota and Bacterial Vaginosis" and declare that they have read and approved the manuscript.

This manuscript is an original research that evaluates biotypes, virulence factor production and antibiotic susceptibility in strains of *G. vaginalis* associated with normal microbiota and bacterial vaginosis. This work provides evidence about the isolation of biotypes 1, 2, 5 and 6 of *G. vaginalis* and the production of biofilm, prolidase, phospholipase C and vaginolysin in strains of this bacterium associated with normal microbiota and bacterial vaginosis. We also, analyzed the antibiotic resistance rate to metronidazole, clindamycin and secnidazole. The phenotypic characterization of *G. vaginalis* strains could provide information for the design of new diagnostic methods and for the better understanding of the pathogenic potential of this bacterium in the vaginal microenvironment.

We expect that you find our work worthy for publication in the Journal of Anaerobes.

Amatia Vences Velázquez, phD

Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero

\*Highlights (for review)

#### Highlights

- We isolated biotypes 1, 2, 5 and 6 of *G. vaginalis*.
- Biofilm and vaginolysin production were associated with BV.
- Biotypes 1 and 2 produces more Phospholipase C.
- BV-associated strains produce more virulence factors that NM-associated strains.
- A high resistance rate to metronidazole, clindamycin and secnidazole was observed.

| 1  | Biotypes, virulence factors and sensitivity to antibiotics in strains of Gardnerella   |
|----|--|
| 2  | vaginalis associated with normal microbiota and Bacterial Vaginosis  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | Ana Karen Estrada-Moreno <sup>1</sup> , Karen Cortés-Sarabia <sup>1,2</sup> , Luz del Carmen Alarcón-Romero <sup>2</sup> ,   |
| 5  | Natividad Castro-Alarcón <sup>1</sup> , Eugenia Flores-Alfaro <sup>1</sup> , Isela Parra-Rojas <sup>1</sup> , Amalia Vences- |
| 6  | Velázquez <sup>1</sup> *.  |
| 7  | <sup>1</sup> Facultad de Ciencias Químicas Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero.                                     |
| 8  | <sup>2</sup> Servicio de Diagnóstico Integral en la Detección Oportuna de Cáncer Cervicouterino y                            |
| 9  | VPH, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero.   |
| 10 | *Corresponding author: Amalia Vences-Velázquez, PhD, Laboratorio de Investigación en   |
| 11 | Inmunobiología y Diagnóstico Molecular. Avenida Lázaro Cárdenas s/n, Ciudad  |
| 12 | Universitaria, Chilpancingo, Guerrero, México. C.P.39090. Tel: 01 (747) 47 19310. Ext.                                       |
| 13 | 3601. E-mail: ameliavences.v@uagro.mx  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |

## Abstract

| 20 | Introduction: Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is a polymicrobial infection considered as a public  |
|----|---|
| 21 | health problem that affects women in reproductive age; its main etiologic agent is          |
| 22 | Gardnerella vaginalis. This bacterium is divided into eight biotypes and produces several   |
| 23 | virulence factors that favor the development of BV. In addition, an increased resistance to |
| 24 | conventional treatment has been reported, which favors the failure to treatment and disease |
| 25 | recurrence. Objectives: Analyze the relationship between the virulence factors production,  |
| 26 | antibiotics resistance and biotypes of G. vaginalis strains associated with normal          |
| 27 | microbiota (NM) and BV. Materials and methods: We analyzed 150 strains of G. vaginalis      |
| 28 | biotyping, biofilm, prolidase, phospholipase C and vaginolysin production were              |
| 29 | determinated. Additionally, metronidazole and clindamycin resistance was performed by       |
| 30 | the Kirby-Bauer method and secnidazole by minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC).           |
| 31 | Results: Biotypes 1 (18%), 2 (13.3%), 5 (17.3%) and 6 (51.3%) were identified. The BV-      |
| 32 | associated strains produce more biofilm (p=0.026) and have more lithic capacity (p=0.043)   |
| 33 | that NM-associated strains. No significant difference between virulence factors production  |
| 34 | and biotypes was observed, with the exception of biotype 2 in phospholipase C production    |
| 35 | (p=0.0001). BV-associated strains produce more virulence factors in comparison with         |
| 36 | normal microbiota, especially biotypes 1 and 6, and finally a high resistance rate to       |
| 37 | metronidazole (100%), secnidazole (95.3%) and clindamycin (90.6%) was observed.             |
| 38 | Conclusion: A great diversity in the virulence factors production between NM and BV-        |
| 39 | associated strains was observed, which could contribute during the development of BV;       |
| 40 | additionally, the high resistance rate may impact in the treatment failure and disease      |
| 41 | recurrence.   |

- 42 **Keywords:** Gardnerella vaginalis, normal microbiota, bacterial vaginosis, virulence
- 43 factors, biotypes, sensitivity to antibiotics.

#### 1. Introduction

44

45 Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the most common vaginal infection in reproductive aged 46 women, characterized by the replacement of lactobacilli and overgrowth of anaerobic 47 microorganisms [1]. Its prevalence varies from 5% to 57% based on the geographical 48 distribution and analyzed population [2, 3]. BV has been associated with gynecological and 49 obstetric complications such as pelvic inflammatory disease, endometritis, abortions, and 50 an increased susceptibility to acquire sexually transmitted infections [4-6] 51 Several bacterial genders have been associated with this condition, among them: 52 Sneathia/Leptothrix Spp., Prevotella bivia, Megasphera spp., Bacteroides spp., 53 Peptostreptococcus spp., Ureaplasma spp., Atopobium vaginae and Mobiluncus spp.; 54 however, several authors consider Gardnerella vaginalis as the main etiologic agent, due to the fact that it is isolated from 48% to 98% of vaginal discharge in women with BV [3, 7]. 55 56 G. vaginalis is a facultative anaerobic cocobacillus, catalase and oxidase negative, from 0.5 57 to 1.5 µm, without capsule, spores or fimbriae [8]. This bacterium is classified into eight 58 different biotypes based on their metabolic characteristics, and four genotypes (1-4) based 59 on the amplification and restriction of the ribosomal DNA [9, 10]. In patients with BV, a 60 higher frequency of lipase positive biotypes (1-4) and genotypes 1 and 3 has been reported 61 [11, 12]. Nevertheless, no studies have been able to establish a relationship between the 62 presence of a particular biotype or genotype with the development of BV [13-15], so it has 63 been suggested that the virulence factors of G. vaginalis could play an essential role during 64 pathogenesis in the vaginal tract.

65 This bacterium produces several virulence factors involved in the proliferation and invasion 66 of the genital tract; enzymes production such as phospholipase C [13], sialidase [16] and 67 prolidase [17] which mediate lipids, proteins and extracellular matrix hydrolysis, allowing 68 the adhesion to epithelial cells during the beginning of the infection. Additionally, it 69 produces vaginolysin (Vly) a cholesterol dependent cytolysin (CDCs), that promotes 70 bacterial invasion and infection through the lysis of erythrocytes, neutrophils and epithelial 71 cells [18]. Alternatively, G. vaginalis produces biofilm that confers resistance to the host 72 immune response, such as hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and lactic acid produced by the 73 lactobacilli, as well as greater tolerance to antibiotics [19, 20]. 74 The conventional treatment of BV is based on the use of antibiotics such as clindamycin 75 (CC), metronidazole (MTZ) and secnidazole (SCZ). MTZ and SCZ belong to the family of 76 5-nitroimidazoles, and affect bacterial DNA without affecting the lactobacilli microbiota, 77 promoting vaginal resettlement after treatment [27, 28]. While CC, targets bacterial 78 ribosomes inhibiting bacterial protein synthesis [21]. An increased resistance to MTZ and 79 CC has been reported in 24.7% to 76% of the cases for both antibiotics [21, 22]. Recently, 80 the use of SCZ has been proposed as a new alternative treatment for BV [23], and just few 81 studies have been conducted to evaluate its effectiveness in strains of G. vaginalis [23-25]. 82 The resistance to treatment with antibiotics has an impact on reincidence, which has been 83 reported in around 30% of the cases of BV during the three months post-treatment [22]. 84 Even so, in Mexico there are no studies that report this situation, and the treatment based on 85 MTZ and CC is still the first choice. 86 Despite the fact that G. vaginalis has been widely associated with the development of BV, particular analysis of biotypes and virulence factors production in strains have failed to 87 88 establish an association between its presence and BV development. Nonetheless, the

increased resistance to antibiotics used during the conventional treatment, leads to a treatment failure and increased recurrence. Therefore, the aim of this work was to evaluate the relation between biotypes, virulence factors production and antibiotic resistance (metronidazole, clindamycin and secnidazole) in strains of *G. vaginalis* associated with NM and BV.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Population and sample collection

One hundred and fifty strains of *G. vaginalis* from our biobank were used. Bacterial isolation was performed from vaginal swabs samples of patients who attended to the Servicio de Diagnóstico Integral en la Detección Oportuna de Cáncer Cervicouterino y VPH in the Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero (UAGro). Samples were collected from the vaginal sac fundus by using two sterile swabs, which were placed in physiological saline solution and Stuart transport medium. All patients signed an informed consent based on the Helsinki Declaration of 2013; the project was previously approved by the bioethics committee of the UAGro. The BV diagnosis was carried out based on Amsel criteria, that evaluate clinical parameters such as vaginal pH >4.5, vaginal discharge, amine positive test and the presence of clue cells in pap smear; establishing the diagnosis with the presence of three of the four criteria [36].

#### 2.2 Isolation and preservation of G. vaginalis

G. vaginalis isolation was performed on Columbia agar supplemented with 10% of human blood and SR119RE selective supplement (OXOID Cat#1441974). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours in CO<sub>2</sub> tension. Specific identification includes Gram stain, catalase and

111 oxidase test. After identification, bacteria were grown in thioglycolate broth and preserved 112 for subsequent test. 113 2.3 Biotyping 114 Biotyping was performed by using the classification scheme proposed by Piot et al., [9]. β-115 galactosidase: Culture liquid medium (100 µL) was inoculated in 500 µL of 2-nitrophenyl-116 β-D-galactopiranoside (Sigma Aldrich Cat # N1127), during 24 hours at 37°C. The 117 presence of a yellow color in the tube was considered positive and negative when the 118 reagent did not turn into any color. **Hippurate hydrolysis:** Culture liquid medium (100 ul) 119 was inoculated in 400 µl of 1% sodium hippurate reagent (Sigma Aldrich Cat # H9380), 120 and incubated at 37 °C for 2 hours. After that, 200 µl of ninhydrin (Sigma Aldrich Cat # 121 151173) were added and homogenized. The test was considered as positive when the 122 reagent turned purple (indicating the presence of glycine in the mix as a result of hippurate 123 hydrolysis), and as negative when the reagent did not turn into any color. Lipase: It was 124 evaluated in egg yolk agar with bromophenol blue as indicator according to the previously

#### 128 **2.4 Phospholipase C**

and each test was performed by duplicate.

Phospholipase C activity was assessed according to the method previously described [13]. The strains were inoculated by streak plate method in skim milk agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The presence of a transparent halo around the colonies was considered as positive.

reported [26]. The strains were inoculated by streak plate method and incubated at 37°C for

24 hours. The presence of an iridescent halo around the colony was considered as positive

133

125

126

127

129

130

131

132

#### 2.5 Biofilm production

Culture liquid medium ( $20~\mu L$ ) was inoculated in  $180~\mu L$  of sterile thioglycolate broth in 96~ wells highly hydrophilic flat bottom plates (COSTAR Cat # 3590) and incubated for 24~ hours at 37~°C in  $CO_2$  tension. First, growth was evaluated by optical density (OD) to 600~ nm, then the medium was discharged and the plate washed with phosphate buffer (PBS) pH 7.0. The plate was air-dried at room temperature during 40~ minutes, after we added  $200~\mu L$  of safranin during 1~ minute, the plate was washed with PBS and safranin solubilized with acetic acid at 33%. OD was measured at 650~ nm and results classified as; non-producer (<0.1), moderate (0.1-0.2) and abundant (>0.2), based on the previously reported [13]. **2.6 Prolidase**Prolidase was performed by the method previously reported [17]. Briefly, a mix of 50~  $\mu L$  of culture liquid medium with 50~  $\mu L$  of substrate L-proline-p-nitroanilide (Sigma Aldrich Cat # P5328) in 0.1~ M sodium acetate pH 5.0~ were incubated for 24~ hours at 37~°C. After, OD was measured at 415~ nm. As negative control, the reagent was incubated with sterile liquid

#### 2.7 Vaginolysin

(>0.2).

The lytic capacity of used strains was determined by hemagglutination (HA) and percentage of lysis was calculated. In 96 wells "U" plates, 25  $\mu$ L of culture supernatant of each strain were placed. After that, we added 50  $\mu$ L of 1 % erythrocytes suspension and the plate was incubated for one hour at room temperature. The supernatant was collected into a new plate and the optical density at 415 nm was obtained from each sample. For analysis, we calculated the percentage of lysis based on the obtained OD, considering as 100% the OD given by erythrocytes suspension at 1% incubated with triton. The reaction

medium and results classified as non-producer (<0.1), moderate (0.1-0.2) and abundant

was considered as positive when cellular lysis was observed and as negative by the presence of a cellular button. The results were classified in: without lysis (<10%), low (10.1-49.9%) and high (>50%) based on the obtained results.

#### 2.8 Antibiotic resistance

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

Sensitivity to the antibiotics; clindamycin and metronidazole was carried out by using the disk diffusion method [27]. One inoculum of 3 mL was prepared from a pure culture of G. vaginalis in physiological saline solution, turbidity was adjusted to 0.5 in the McFarland scale (1.5 x 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL), and inoculated by spread plate method by using a sterile swab in Mueller-Hinton agar plates supplemented with 5% of human blood, let dry for five minutes and then, the sensidisks were placed exerting slight pressure with a considerable distance between them. After 15 minutes, the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours in CO<sub>2</sub> tension. After the incubation, halo inhibition diameter was measured and the strains were classified into sensitive or resistant [28]. G. vaginalis ATCC 14018 was included as control due to its resistance pattern to these antibiotics is already known. Secnidazole sensitivity was determined by the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) method. First, a stock solution was prepared by using the antibiotic Secnidazole (Sigma Aldrich Cat#35382), after the Columbia agar culture medium was prepared at different concentrations of the antibiotic: 0, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 (µg/mL) from the stock solution [29]. Before culture, an inoculum of G. vaginalis was prepared in 3 mL of physiological saline solution and adjusted to 0.5 on the McFarland scale. The bacterium was inoculated in the Columbia medium and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours in CO<sub>2</sub> tension. After incubation, the MIC was recorded and the strains were classified into sensitive (<8 - 16 µg/mL) or resistant (>32 µg/mL) [30]. G. vaginalis ATCC 14018 strain was included as control.

#### 2.9 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in Stata V.13. A data base was built with the obtained results from each test and analyzed variables. Relative and absolute frequencies were obtained and p value calculated with  $X^2$  test. While OR were calculated by logistic regression model and for global analysis of virulence factors, a new variable was built considering the obtained results from each factor and the possible combination between them. In each test, a p value <0.05 was considered positive.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Biotyping

A total of 150 strains of *G. vaginalis* were analyzed, of which 50 were associated with BV and 100 to normal microbiota (NM). We identified 4 of the 8 reported biotypes, being the most frequent biotype 6 (51.3%), followed by 1 (18%), 5 (17.3%) and 2 (13.3%), similar frequencies were reported in NM and BV (**Table 1**).

#### 3.2 Production of virulence factors of G. vaginalis

In the analysis of virulence factors production, we observed that the abundant production of biofilm was more frequent in BV-associated strains compared with the NM-associated strains (p=0,026 OR=5.17 CI:1.21-22.06). In relation with prolidase production, only 2% of the strains from NM present a high production of this enzyme. While, in BV-associated strains, only 6% present a high production and 26% a moderate production. In the total of analyzed strains, phospholipase C production was detected in only 28.7%, and a high percentage of lysis was more frequently observed in the BV-associated strains compared with the NM-associated strains (p=0,043 OR=8.81 CI=1.07-72.28) (**Table 2**).

Subsequently, virulence factors production was associated with each isolated biotype. In relation with biofilm production, we observed that 19.2% of strains belonging to biotype 5 are abundant producers in comparison with 0% of the biotype 1 strains and the low production of the other biotypes. For prolidase production, around 80.7% and 83.1% of strains belonging to biotype 5 and 6 do not produce this enzyme, and only 5% of biotype 2 and 3.7% of biotype 1 strains are abundant producers. Phospholipase C production was predominantly produced by biotypes 1 (51.8%) and 2 (75%), while it was scarcely produced by biotypes 5 (80.7%) and 6 (88.3%). Finally, the highest lithic activity was observed in biotypes 2 (70%) and 5 (73%) (**Table 3**). Subsequently, a comparison between the number of virulence factors produced by each biotype in both study groups (NM and BV) was performed. In 3% of the NM-associated strains the production of any virulence factor was observed. The most frequent virulence factor in the total of cases was vaginolysin production alone, followed by the association between Vly+Plc production (20%) and Vly+Bio production (14%), in this group only in few cases we detect the production of three different virulence factors (9%). Biotype 1 is characterized by the production of vaginolysin alone (40%) and combined with prolidase (15%) and phospholipase C (30%), biotype 2 produces Vly combined with Plc (63%), while biotypes 5 and 6 produces Vly in 41.2% and 48% of the cases, respectively. In the BV-associated strains, the production of three or four virulence factors was observed in 30% of the total cases. Being the most frequent Pro+Vly+Bio and Vly+Bio+Plc. In this group, biotype 1 presents the following characteristics: Vly (14.3%), Pro+Vly (14.3%) and Vly+Bio+Plc (22.2%), biotype 2 produces Vly+Plc (22.2%), Pro+Vly+Bio (22.2%) and Vly+Bio+Plc (22.2%), biotype 5 produces Vly (22.2%), Vly+Bio (44.4%) and Vly+Plc (22.2%). Finally, the 48% of strains belonging to biotype 6 produces Vly, and the

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

combination of Pro+Vly (16%) and Vly+Bio (12%). In just three cases, the production of four different virulence factors was observed, and they belonged to biotypes 1 and 6 (**Table 4**).

#### 3.3 Antibiotic resistance

Finally, we evaluated the antibiotic sensibility of the strains to clindamycin, metronidazole and secnidazole. In the total of the analyzed strains; 100% were resistant to metronidazole, 95% to secnidazole and 90% to clindamycin, without observing differences between both study groups (**Table 5**).

#### 4. Discussion

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the most common vaginal infection in reproductive aged women [31], the main etiologic agent is *G. vaginalis*, a Gram variable cocobacillus [5] with eight different biotypes and 4 genotypes [9, 10]. In this study, we report the presence of biotypes 1, 2, 5 and 6 in normal microbiota and BV. Previous studies have analyzed the role of *G. vaginalis* biotypes in the development of BV and reported that biotypes 4, 5 and 7 are the most frequent in the normal microbiota, while biotypes 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 8 are associated with BV [13, 32, 33]. The lack of association could be due to the employed biotyping method, that includes the measure of metabolic characteristics and do not consider the production of virulence factors [34]. Alternatively, some biotypes of this bacterium are strict anaerobes, and the isolation by conventional culture methods is not possible, to which the use of molecular methods have been proposed [14].

It has been suggested that the production of virulence factors by *G. vaginalis* vary according to the biotype and its association with BV [34]. We analyzed the production of several virulence factors, between them; vaginolysin, prolidase, biofilm and phospholipase.

The vaginolysin is the main toxin produced by G. vaginalis, this protein present high cytotoxic activity, and it is involved in nutrients obtention and bacterial proliferation [35]. In our study we found that the strains associated with BV present a higher lytic capacity (94%), that does not differ between biotypes. Previous studies have shown that infection with this bacterium and the low count of lactobacilli, have an effect on the production of this cytolysin [36] which could explain the higher lytic capacity in the BV-associated bacteria. Additionally, Vly production has been associated with the severity and adverse effects associated with BV [37, 38]. In addition to Vly, we analyzed the production of phospholipase C (Plc) and prolidase. Plc is a lecithinase associated with the lysis of epithelial cells and the compounds of extracellular matrix degradation [39]. No association between Plc production and BV was observed, however, the enzyme production was more frequently produced by biotypes 1 and 2 (52% and 75%, respectively), the production of this enzyme by G. vaginalis has been associated with Pseudomonas spp. and Candida spp co-existence in the vaginal tract, due to the fact that G. vaginalis does not possess the coding plasmid for Plc production and it has been stipulated that it could be received from those microorganisms [40]. Nevertheless, prolidase is a proteolytic enzyme that participates in the biofilm cycle formation and in the remodeling of the extracellular matrix [17]. High levels of prolidase, have been found in samples of women with BV, however, in this study, we did not find any relationship. Both enzymes participate in the degradation of a specific compound associated with pregnancy onsets which causes placental tissue damage and abortion [41, 42]. Another factor produced by G. vaginalis is biofilm, which are bacterial communities adhered to the epithelial cells surface and it is associated with bacterial proliferation [20]. We observed that the BV-associated strains produce greater amount of biofilm (12%) being

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

the biotype 5 (19%) the most associated with abundant production. The biotype 5 has been previously associated with NM [32], a high production of biofilm has been associated with the ability to colonize the vagina but not with the ability to develop BV. Biofilm production is important during the initial and maintenance of the infection, due to the physical protection that provides, it also prevents the effect of hydrogen peroxide and lactic acid produced by the lactobacilli of the normal vaginal microbiota [43]. At the same time, auspicious bacterial resistance to antibiotic and BV recurrence until three months after treatment. Furthermore, promotes the genetic material interchange [44, 45]. Studies have shown differences in genes expression between strains of G. vaginalis, which has an impact on the production of virulence factors, a wider range of enzymes that degrade cervical mucus and promote infection have been reported in BV-associated strains [46, 47]. In our study, we observed that BV-associated strains produce a greater amount of virulence factors in comparison with NM strains. In addition, some factors such as Plc and prolidase are only produced in conjunction with other factors, while biotypes 1 and 6 in BVassociated strains produce all the analyzed factors in comparison with other biotypes and study group. Previously, biotype 1 has been associated with BV development, which could be related with the high pathogenic potential, suggesting that the vaginal environment, as well as bacterial species of the normal microbiota have an effect on the production of virulence factors of G. vaginalis [48, 49]. Finally, we analyzed the antimicrobial resistance of the strains against antibiotics used in the conventional treatment of BV (metronidazole, clindamycin, and more recently secnidazole) [50]. A high rate of resistance to metronidazole (100%) and clindamycin (90%) was observed. Previous studies have reported that G. vaginalis strains present high

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

resistant rates to these antibiotics [51, 52, 25]; however, in Mexico according to the Official Mexican Standard NOM-039-SSA2-2002, the treatment of vaginal infections caused by bacteria still remains based on metronidazole and clindamycin as treatment. Due to the high resistance rates to these antibiotics, the conventional treatment should be replaced by antibiotics with greater effectiveness. In relation with secnidazole, we obtained 95% of resistance, an interest fact is that this antibiotic has recently been introduced for the treatment of BV [23, 24, 53]. The metronidazole and secnidazole belong to 5nitroimidazoles family, while the clindamycin belongs to the lincosamides family; the mechanisms of resistance to antibiotics that belong to this family have not been described, but they suggest that resistance involves the inactivation of antibiotic, intracellular flow pumps or modification of the target site [54, 55]. Therefore, the specific resistance mechanism should be investigated. In addition, the use of members of this family for the treatment of BV should be avoided. Despite the high prevalence of BV among women and the associated obstetric complications, the analysis of the biotypes of G. vaginalis, the evaluation of virulence factors production, and antibiotics resistance, are poorly studied. This study presents some limitations in relation with the design and methods used, however, we provide evidence about the production of virulence factors in the strains of G. vaginalis to know the real pathogenic potential of this bacterium, also we report a high antibiotic resistance rates. At clinical level, the evaluation of virulence factors could be used as prognosis markers o diagnosis, also the evaluation of antibiotic resistance provides a more efficiency treatment. Further studies are need it in order to completely understand how the production of virulence factors in the vaginal tract is stimulated, and its roles in the symbiotic or

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

321 antagonistic relationships that G. vaginalis establishes with other bacteria from the vaginal 322 tract or with others biotypes or genotypes of G. vaginalis. Another interesting perspective 323 could be discerning the specific mechanisms to antibiotic resistance. 324 **5. Conclusions** 325 This research provides evidence about the production of virulence factors by strains of G. 326 vaginalis associated with NM and BV. The BV-associated biotypes, produce a greater 327 amount of virulence factors, evidencing the possible role of these biotypes during the 328 development of the infection. A limitation of the work is that we only analyzed four of the 329 eight biotypes of the bacteria and four virulence factors, nevertheless, as it has been 330 described by other authors the bacterium still has more factors that could contribute to the 331 development of the BV. 332 In addition, G. vaginalis presents a high resistance rate to the antibiotic used for the 333 conventional treatment of BV and to antibiotics of new generation, which suggests that the 334 bacterium is acquiring and/or developing new mechanisms of resistance that constitute a 335 public health problem due to the high frequency of this infection. 336 6.- Funding 337 This work was supported by grants from PFCE 2017 to the Universidad Autónoma de 338 Guerrero. 339 7.- Acknowledgements 340 We are very grateful with the Servicio Integral para la Detección Oportuna de Cáncer 341 Cérvicouterino y la Infección por VPH of the Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero for 342 providing the used samples. During the study, Ana Karen Estrada-Moreno (Registration

No. 602962) and Karen Cortés-Sarabia (Registration No. 557803) were recipients of a

- master and doctorate fellowship from the National Council of Science and Technology
- 345 (CONACYT).
- **8.- References**
- 1. S. Srinivasan, N.G. Hoffman, M.T. Morgan, F.A. Matsen, T.L. Fiedler, et al. Bacterial
- 348 communities in women with bacterial vaginosis: high resolution phylogenetic analyses
- reveal relationships of microbiota to clinical criteria, *PLoS ONE*, 7(2012) e37818. DOI:
- 350 10.1371/journal.pone.0037818.
- 2. **R.E. Moreira Mascarenhas,** M. Sacramento Cunha Machado, B.F. Borges da Costa e
- 352 Silva, R. Fernandes Weyll Pimentel, T. Teixeira Ferreira, et. al. Prevalence and risk
- factors for bacterial Vaginosis and other vulvovaginitis in a population of sexually
- active adolescents from Salvador, Bahia, Brazil, Infect. Dis. Obstet. Gynecol. 2012
- 355 (2012) 1–6. DOI: 10.1155/2012/378640.
- 356 3. **R. Gergova,** I. Sirakov, and T. Strateva, Detection and phylogenetic characterization of
- 357 Gardnerella vaginalis vaginolysin in samples from Bulgarian women with bacterial
- 358 vaginosis, Compt. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci. 69 (2016) 1073-1082.
- 4. **B. Jacobsson**, P. Pernevi, L. Chidekel, J. Jörgen Platz-Christensen, Bacterial vaginosis
- in early pregnancy may predispose for preterm birth and postpartum endometritis,
- 361 *Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 81(2012) 1006-10. PMID: 12421167.
- 362 5. **J. Marrazo,** Interpreting the Epidemiology and Natural History of Bacterial Vaginosis.
- 363 Are will confused?, Journal Appl Microbiol. 17 (2011) 186190. DOI:
- 364 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2011.03.016.

- 365 6. **G. Isik**, S. Demirezen, H.G. Dönmez, M.S. Beksac, Bacterial vaginosis in association
- with spontaneous abortion and recurrent pregnancy losses, *J Cytol.* 33 (2016) 135-40.
- 367 DOI: 10.4103/0970-9371.188050.
- 368 7. A. Bitew, Y. Abebaw, D. Bekele, A. Mihret, Prevalence of bacterial vaginosis and
- associated risk factors among women complaining of genital tract infection,
- 370 International Journal of Microbiology. 4919404 (2017) 1-8. DOI:
- 371 10.1155/2017/4919404.
- 372 8. **H. L. Gardner, & C. D. Dukes**, *Haemophilus* vaginalis vaginitis. A newly defined
- specific infection previously classified "nonspecific" vaginitis, Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.
- 374 69 (1955) 962- 976. PMID: 14361525.
- 9. **P. Piot,** E. Van-Dick, M. Peeters, J. Hale, P. Totten, K. Holmes, Biotypes of
- 376 *Gardnerella vaginalis, Journal of clinical microbiology.* 20 (1984): 677-679. PMID:
- 377 6333436.
- 378 10. **A. Ingianni,** S. Petruzzelli, G. Morandotti, R. Pompei, Genotypic differentiation of
- 379 Gardnerella vaginalis by amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis (ARDRA),
- 380 FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 18 (1997) 61–66. PMID: 9215588.
- 381 11. **R. Benito,** J. A. Vázquez, S. Berron, A. Fenoll, J. A. Saez-Nieto, A modified scheme
- for biotyping *Gardnerella vaginalis*. J. Med. Microbiol. 21 (1986) 357-359. PMID:
- 383 3088281.
- 12. **J.A. Schuyler,** E. Mordechai, M.E. Adelson, J.D. Sobel, S.E. Gygax, D.W. Hilbert,
- 385 Identification of intrinsecally metonidazole- resistant clades of *Gardnerella vaginalis*,
- Diagnostic Microbiology & Infectious Disease. 84 (2016) 1-3. DOI:
- 387 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2015.10.006.

- 388 13. **J. Udavalaxmi,** G.K. Bhat, S. Kotigadde, Biotypes and virulence factors of
- 389 Gardnerella vaginalis isolated from cases of bacterial vaginosis, Indian Journal of
- 390 *Medical Microbiology*. 29 (2011): 165-8. DOI: 10.4103/0255-0857.81798.
- 391 14. **S.V. Balashov**, E. Mordechai, M.E. Adelson, S.E. Gygax, Identification, quantification
- and subtyping of *Gardnerella vaginalis* in noncultured clinical vaginal samples by
- 393 quantitative PCR, J. Med. Microbiol. 63 (2014) 162-175. DOI: 10.1099/jmm.0.066407-
- 394 0.
- 395 15. **M. Janulaitiene**, V. Paliulyte, S. Grinceviciene, J. Zakareviciene, A. Vladisauskiene,
- 396 A. Marcinkute, M. Pleckaityte, Prevalence and distribution of *Gardnerella vaginalis*
- subgroups in women with and without bacterial vaginosis, BMC Infect. Dis. 17 (2017)
- 398 394-405. DOI: 10.1186/s12879-017-2501-y.
- 399 16. C. Marconi, G.G.G. Donders, G. Bellen, D.R. Brown, C.M.G.L. Parada, M.G. Silva,
- Sialidasa activity in anaerobic vaginitis is equal to levels during bacterial vaginosis.
- 401 European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology. 167 (2013)
- 402 205–209. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2012.12.003.
- 403 17. S. Cauci, S. Guaschino, S. Driussi, D. De santo, P. Lanzafame, and F. Quadrifoglio,
- 404 Correlation of local interleukin-8 with Immunoglobulin a against *Gardnerella vaginalis*
- hemolysin and with prolidase and sialidase Levels in Women with Bacterial Vaginosis,
- 406 *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*.185 (2002):14–20. DOI: 10.1086/340417.
- 407 18. **S.E. Gelber,** J.L. Aguilar, K.L.T. Lewis, A.J. Ratner, Functional and phylogenetic
- 408 characterization of vaginolysin, the human specific cytolysin from *Gardnerella*
- 409 *vaginalis, Journal of bacteriology.* 190 (2008) 3896-3903. DOI: 10.1128/JB.01965-07.

- 410 19. **K.S. Akers,** A.P. Cardile, J.C. Wenke, and C.K. Murray, *Biofilm* formation by clinical
- 411 isolates and its relevance to clinical infections, *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 830 (2015) 1-28.
- 412 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-11038-7\_1.
- 413 20. **D. Machado**, J. Castro, A. Palmeira-de-Olveira, J. Martínez-de-Olveira and N. Cerca,
- Bacterial vaginosis biofilms: challengues to current therapies and emerging solutions,
- 415 Front. Microbiol. 6 (2016) 1528. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01528.
- 416 21. M. Arendse and C.W.J. Africa, Metronidazole- and clindamycin-resistance of
- 417 Gardnerella vaginalis in pregnant women with a history of preterm delivery, J Clin
- 418 *Microbiol Biochem Technol S.* (2013) 104. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.5014.3842.
- 419 22. **P. Nagaraja**, Antibiotic resistance of *Gardnerella vaginalis* in recurrent bacterial
- 420 vaginosis, *Indian journal of medical microbiology*. 26 (2008): 155-7. DOI:
- 421 10.4103/0255-0857.40531.
- 422 23. P. Nyirjesy and J. R. Schewebke, J. R., Secnidazole: next-generation antimicrobial
- agent for bacterial vaginosis treatment, *Future Microbiology*. 1 (2018) 1-18. DOI:
- 424 10.2217/fmb-2017-0270.
- 425 24. **J.R. Schwebke**, F.G. Morgan Jr, W. Koltun, P. Nyirjesy, A phase-3, double-blind,
- placebo-controlled study of the effectiveness and safety of single oral doses
- of secnidazole 2 g for the treatment of women with bacterial vaginosis, Am J Obstetric
- 428 *Gynecol.* 217 (2017) 678.e1-678.e9. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2017.08.017.
- 429 25. **J.A. Schuyler**, S.G. Chadwick, E. Mordechai, M.E. Adelson, S.E. Gygax, D.W.
- Hilbert, Draft genome sequence of a metronidazole-resistant *Gardnerella vaginalis*
- 431 isolate, *Genome Announc*. 3 (2018) e00992-15. DOI: 10.1128/genomeA.00992-15.

- 432 26. **B.J. Moncla and K.M. Pryke,** Oleate lipase activity in *Gardnerella vaginalis* and
- reconsideration of existing biotypes scheme, *BMC Microbiology*. 9 (2009) 1-5. DOI:
- 434 10.1186/1471-2180-9-78.
- 435 27. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2006. Methods for antimicrobial
- 436 dilution and disk susceptibility testing of infrequently isolated or fastidious bacteria;
- 437 approved guideline. M45-A. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 438 28. S. Umadevi & M. Sailaja, A study of bacterial vaginosis with special reference to
- 439 Gardnerella vaginalis, Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences, 3 (2015) 3367-
- 440 3372. ISSN: 2320-6691.
- 441 29. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), Methods for antimicrobial
- susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria; Approved Standard- Eighth edition. CLSI
- document M11-A8. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2012.
- 444 30. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), Standards for Antimicrobial
- Susceptibility Testing, 26th ed., Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne,
- 446 PA, 2016. CLSI supplement M100eS26.
- 31. **Bhesania-Hodiwala and** A. Koli, Bacterial vaginosis. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol App Sci.*
- 448 4 (2015): 530-538. ISSN: 2319-7706.
- 449 32. **A.A. Aroutcheva**, J.A. Simoes, K. Behbakht, S. Faro, *Gardnerella vaginalis* isolated
- from patients with bacterial vaginosis and from patients with healthy vaginal
- 451 ecosystems, Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am. 33 (2001) 1022–1027.
- 452 DOI: 10.1086/323030.
- 453 33. Espinosa, M. Lorenzo, Y. Riverón, M. Romero, E. Álvarez, Caracterización
- 454 bioquímica y antigénica de diferentes aislamientos de Gardnerella vaginalis, Rev
- 455 *Cubana Invest Biomed.* 24 (2005): 1-8. ISSN 1561-3011.

- 456 34. **J.L. Patterson**, A. Stull-Lane, P.H. Girerd, K.K. Jefferson, Analysis of adherence,
- biofilm formation and cytotoxicity suggests a greater virulence potential of *Gardnerella*
- 458 *vaginalis* relative to other bacterial-vaginosis-associated anaerobes. *Microbiol Read*
- 459 Engl. 156 (2010) 392-399. DOI: 10.1099/mic.0.034280-0.
- 460 35. **T.M. Randis**, J. Zaklama, T.J. LaRocca, F.C.O. Los, E.L. Lewis, P. Desai, et al.
- Vaginolysin drives epithelial ultrastructural responses to *Gardnerella vaginalis*, *Infect*.
- 462 *Immun.* 81 (2013): 4544-4550. DOI: 10.1128/IAI.00627-13.
- 463 36. **R.G. Nowak**, T.M. Randis, P. Desai, X. H.E, C.K. Robinson, J.M. Rath, E.D. Glover,
- 464 A.J. Ratner, J. Ravel, R.M. Brotman, Hisher levels of a cytotoxic a protein,
- Vaginolysin, in *Lactobacillus*-deficient community state types at the vaginal mucosa,
- 466 Sex Trasm Dis. 45 (2018) e14-e17. DOI: 10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000774.
- 467 37. **M.D. Harwich,** J.M. Alves, G.A. Buck, J.F. Strauss-III, L.J. Patterson, A.T. Oki, et al.,
- Drawing the line between commensal and pathogenic *Gardnerella vaginalis* throught
- genome analysis and virulence studies, *BMC Genomics*. 11 (2010) 375-380. DOI:
- 470 10.1186/1471-2164-11-375.
- 471 38. **M. Pleckaityte**, M. Janulaitiene, R. Lasickiene, A. Zvirbliene, Genetic and biochemical
- diversity of *Gardnerella vaginalis* strains isolated from women with bacterial vaginosis,
- 473 FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 65 (2012) 69-77. DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-
- 474 695X.2012.00940.x.
- 475 39. **N. Ivanovska**, Phospholipases as a factor of pathogenicity in microorganisms, J Mol
- 476 Catalysis B: *Enzymatic*. 22 (2003) 357-361. DOI: 10.1016/S1381-1177(03)00050-X.
- 477 40. **A.Z. Mahmoundabadi,** M. Zarin, and S. Miry, Phospholipase activity of *Candida*
- 478 *albicans* isolated from vagina and urine samples, *Jundishapur J Microbiol*. 3 (2010):
- 479 169-73.

- 480 41. **J.A. McGregor,** D. Lawellin, A. Franco-Buff and J.K. Todd, Phospholipase C activity
- in microorganisms associate with reproductive tract infection, *Am J Obstet Gynecol*.
- 482 164 (1990) 682-686. DOI: 10.1016/S0002-9378(11)80046-3.
- 483 42. **S. Cauci,** J. F. Culhane, M. Di Santolo and K. McCollum, Among pregnant women
- with bacterial vaginosis, the hydrolytic enzymes sialidase and prolidase are positively
- associated with interleukin-1β, American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology. 198
- 486 (2008): 132-e1. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2007.05.035.
- 43. **J.L. Patterson,** P.H. Girerd, N.W. Karjane, K.K. Jefferson, Effect of biofilm phenotype
- on resistance of Gardnerella vaginalis to hydrogen peroxide and lactic acid. Am j obstet
- 489 *gynecol.* 197 (2007): 170.e1-170.e7. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2007.02.027.
- 490 44. C.A. Muzny and J.R. Schwebke, Biofilm: an underappreciated mechanism of
- treament failure and recurrence in vaginal infections, Infectious Diseases Society of
- 492 *America*. 61 (2015) 601-6. DOI: 10.1093/cid/civ353.
- 493 45. C.S. Bradshaw, A.N. Mortin, J. Hocking, S.M. Garland, M.B. Morris, L.M. Moss, et
- al., High recurrence rates of bacterial vaginosis over the Course of 12 Months after oral
- 495 metronidazole therapy and factors associated with recurrence, *The Journal of infectious*
- 496 *Diseases.* 193 (2006) 1478-86. DOI: 10.1086/503780.
- 497 46. **C.J. Yeoman**, S. Yildirim, S.M. Thomas, A.S. Durkin, M. Torralba, G. Sutton, et al,
- 498 Comparative genomics of *Gardnerella vaginalis* strains reveals substantial differences
- in metabolic and virulence potential, *PloS One*. 5 (2010) e12411.
- 500 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012411.
- 501 47. **M. Janulaitiene**, V. Gegzna, L. Baranauskiene, A. Bulavaitė, M. Simanavicius, M.
- Pleckaityte, Phenotypic characterization of *Gardnerella vaginalis* subgroups suggests

- differences in their virulence potential, *PLoS ONE*. 13 (2018) e0200625.
- 504 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0200625.
- 48. **N.K. Younus**, R. Gopinath, R. Jegasothy, S.A. Nordi, A. Belkum, N. Mary, V.K.
- Neela, An update on *Gardnerella vaginalis* associated bacterial vaginosis in Malaysia,
- 507 Asian PAc J Trop Biomed. 7 (2017) 831-835. DOI: 10.1016/j.apjtb.2017.08.011
- 508 49. **O.E. Cornejo**, R.J. Hickey, H. Suzuki, L.J. Forney, Focusing the diversity of
- 509 *Gardnerella vaginalis* through the lens of ecotypes, *Evol. Appl.* 11 (2018) 312-324.
- 510 DOI: 10.1111/eva.12555.
- 50. **N. Kumar,** B. Behera, S.S. Sagiri, K. Pal, S.S. Ray, S. Roy, Bacterial vaginosis:
- etiology and modalities of treatment- A brief note, *J Pharm Bioall.* 3 (2011) 496-503.
- 513 DOI: 10.4103/0975-7406.90102.
- 51. **J.R. Schwebke and R.A. Desmond**, Tinidazole vs metronidazole for the treatment of
- bacterial vaginosis, *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 204 (2011) 211.e1-6. DOI:
- 516 10.1016/j.ajog.2010.10.898.
- 517 52. **M.A.B. Petrina**, L.A. Consentino, L.K. Rabe, S.L. Hillier, Susceptibility of bacterial
- vaginosis (BV)- associated bacteria to secnidazole compared to metronidazole,
- tinidazol and clidamycin, *Anaerobe*. 47 (2017) 115-119. DOI:
- 520 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2017.05.005.
- 521 53. **S.L. Hillier,** P. Nyirjesy, A.S. Waldbaum, J.R. Schwebke, F.G. Morgan, N.A. Adetoro,
- 522 C.J. Braun, Secnidazole treatment of bacterial vaginosis: Arandomized controlled trial,
- 523 Obstet & Gynecol. 130 (2017) 379-386. DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000002135.
- 524 54. **A. Dhand** and D.R. Snydman, Mechanism of Resistance in Metronidazole,
- 525 Antimicrobial Drug Resistance. (2009) 223-227. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-59745-180-2
- 526 19.

| 527 | 55. <b>S. Löfmark,</b> C. Edlund and C.E. Nord, Metronidazole is still the drug of choice for |
|-----|---|
| 528 | treatment of anaerobic infections. Clin.Infect.Dis. 50 (2010) 16–23. DOI:                     |
| 529 | 10.1086/647939.   |
| 530 |   |
| 531 |   |
| 532 |   |
| 533 |   |
| 534 |   |
| 535 |   |
| 536 |   |
| 537 |   |
| 538 |   |
| 539 |   |
| 540 |   |
| 541 |   |
| 542 |   |
| 543 |   |

#### **9.- Results**

Table 1. Prevalence of biotypes in strains of *Gardnerella vaginalis* associated with NM and BV.

| Biotype   | Total       | NM          | BV         | p value |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Biotype 1 | 27 (18.0)   | 20 (20.0)   | 7 (14.0)   |         |
| Biotype 2 | 20 (13.3)   | 11 (11.0)   | 9 (18.0)   | 0.586   |
| Biotype 5 | 26 (17.3)   | 17 (17.0)   | 9 (18.0)   | 0.300   |
| Biotype 6 | 77 (51.3)   | 52 (52.0)   | 25 (50.0)  |         |
| Total     | 150 (100.0) | 100 (100.0) | 50 (100.0) |         |

Data reported as: n (%). p value:  $X^2$ . NM: normal microbiota and BV: bacterial vaginosis.

Table 2. Virulence factors production in *Gardnerella vaginalis* strains associated with NM and BV.

|                   | Total      | NM        | BV         |                   |         |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------------|---------|
| Virulence factors | (n=150)    | (n=100)   | (n=50)     | OR (95%CI)        | p value |
| Biofilm           |            |           |            |                   |         |
| No producer       | 104 (69.3) | 75 (75.0) | 29 (58.0)  | 1                 |         |
| Moderate          | 37 (24.7)  | 22 (22.0) | 15 (30.0)  | 1.76 (.80-3.86)   | 0.156   |
| Abundant          | 9 (6.0)    | 3 (3.0)   | 6 (12.0)   | 5.17 (1.21-22.06) | 0.026   |
| Prolidase         |            |           |            |                   |         |
| No producer       | 117 (78.0) | 83 (83.0) | 34 (68.0)  | 1                 |         |
| Moderate          | 28 (18.7)  | 15 (15.0) | 13 (26.0)  | 2.11 (.91-4.91)   | 0.082   |
| Abundant          | 5 (3.3)    | 2 (2.0)   | 3 (6.0)    | 3.66 (.58-22.89)  | 0.165   |
| Phospholipase C   |            |           |            |                   |         |
| Negative          | 107 (71.3) | 73 (73.0) | 34 (68.0)  | 1                 |         |
| Positive          | 43 (28.7)  | 27 (27.0) | 16 (32.0)  | 1.27 (.60-2.66)   | 0.524   |
| Vaginolysin*      |            |           |            |                   |         |
| Without lysis     | 9 (6.0)    | 9 (9.0)   | 1 (1.96)   | 1                 |         |
| Low               | 44 (29.3)  | 42 (42.0) | 2 (3.92)   | 0.42 (.03-5.25)   | 0.508   |
| High              | 97 (64.7)  | 49 (49.0) | 48 (94.12) | 8.81 (1.07-72.28) | 0.043   |

The data are reported in n (number of strains) and percentage (%). OR: Odd Ratios, CI (confidence interval) and *p* value: logistic regression model. NM: normal microbiota. BV: bacterial vaginosis.

<sup>\*</sup>A fictitious case was added to avoid collinearity.

 ${\bf Table~3.~~Virulence~factors~and~biotypes~of~\it Gardnerella~\it vaginalis}$ 

| Virulence factors | Total<br>(n=150) | Biotype 1 (n=27) | Biotype 2 (n=20) | Biotype 5 (n=26) | Biotype 6 (n=77) | <i>p</i><br>value |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Biofilm           | I                |                  |                  |                  |                  |                   |
| No producer       | 104 (69.3)       | 22 (81.5)        | 13 (65.0)        | 17 (65.4)        | 52 (67.53)       |                   |
| Moderate          | 37 (24.8)        | 5 (18.5)         | 6 (30.0)         | 4 (15.4)         | 22 (28.6)        | 0.051             |
| Abundant          | 9 (6.0)          | 0 (0.0)          | 1 (5.0)          | 5 (19.2)         | 3 (3.9)          |                   |
| Prolidase         |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                   |
| No producer       | 117 (78.0)       | 18 (66.7)        | 14 (70.0)        | 21 (80.7)        | 64 (83.1)        |                   |
| Moderate          | 28 (18.7)        | 8 (29.6)         | 5 (25.0)         | 4 (15.4)         | 11 (14.3)        | 0.633             |
| Abundant          | 5 (3.3)          | 1 (3.7)          | 1 (5.0)          | 1 (3.9)          | 2 (2.6)          |                   |
| Phospholipase C   |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                   |
| Negative          | 107 (71.33)      | 13 (48.15)       | 5 (25.00)        | 21 (80.77)       | 68 (88.31)       | 0.0001            |
| Positive          | 43 (28.67)       | 14 (51.85)       | 15 (75.00)       | 5 (19.23)        | 9 (11.69)        |                   |
| Vaginolysin       |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                   |
| Without lysis     | 9 (6.0)          | 1 (3.7)          | 1 (5.0)          | 1 (3.9)          | 6 (7.8)          |                   |
| Low               | 44 (29.3)        | 8 (29.6)         | 5 (25.0)         | 6 (23.0)         | 25 (32.5)        | 0.891             |
| High              | 97 (64.7)        | 18 (66.7)        | 14 (70.0)        | 19 (73.0)        | 46 (59.8)        |                   |

The dates are reported as: n (%). p value: test  $X^2$ .

 $\label{thm:continuous} Table~4.~Association~of~multiple~virulence~factors~production~and~biotypes~in~NM~and~BV~associated~strains.$ 

| Virulence factors/    |             | Norn       | nal microbio | ota        |           |         |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Biotypes              | Total       | 1          | 2            | 5          | 6         | p value |
| Any                   | 3 (3.0)     | -          | -            | 1 (5.9)    | 2 (3.6)   |         |
| Prolidase             | -           | -          | -            | -          | -         |         |
| Vaginolysin           | 40 (40.0)   | 8 (40.0)   | -            | 7 (41.2)   | 25 (48.0) |         |
| Biofilm               | 4 (4.0)     | -          | -            | -          | 4 (7.7)   |         |
| Phospholipase C       | -           | -          | -            | -          | -         |         |
| $Pro^{1} + Vly^{2}$   | 8 (8.0)     | 3 (15.0)   | -            | 3 (17.7)   | 2 (3.9)   |         |
| $Pro + Bio^3$         | -           | -          | -            | -          | -         |         |
| $Pro + Plc^4$         | 2(2.0)      | 1 (5.0)    | 1 (9.0)      | -          | -         |         |
| Vly + Bio             | 14 (14.0)   | 1 (5.0)    | 1 (9.0)      | 2 (11.8)   | 10 (19.2) |         |
| Vly + Plc             | 20 (20.0)   | 6 (30.0)   | 7 (63.6)     | 2 (11.8)   | 5 (9.7)   | 0.001   |
| Bio + Plc             | -           | _          | _            | -          | -         |         |
| Pro + Vly + Bio       | 6 (6.0)     |            | -            | 2 (11.8)   | 4 (7.7)   |         |
| Pro + Bio + Plc       | -           | _          | -            | -          | -         |         |
| Vly + Bio + Plc       | 1 (1.0)     | -          | 1 (9.0)      | -          | -         |         |
| Plc + Pro + Vly       | 2 (2.0)     | 1 (5.0)    | 1 (9.0)      | -          | -         |         |
| Pro + Vly + Bio + Plc | -           | -          | -            | -          | -         |         |
| Total                 | 100 (100.0) | 20 (100.0) | 11 (100.0)   | 17 (100.0) | 52(100.0) |         |

| Virulence factors/     |            |           | Bacterial v | aginosis  |           |         |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Biotypes               | Total      | 1         | 2           | 5         | 6         | p value |
| Any                    | -          | -         | -           | -         | -         |         |
| Prolidase              | -          | -         | -           | -         | -         |         |
| Vaginolysin            | 15 (30.0)  | 1 (14.3)  | -           | 2 (22.2)  | 12 (48.0) |         |
| Biofilm                | -          | -         | -           | -         | -         |         |
| Phospholipase C        | -          | -         | -           | -         | -         |         |
| $Pro^1 + Vly^2$        | 6 (12.0)   | 1 (14.3)  | 1 (11.1)    | -         | 4 (16.0)  |         |
| Pro + Bio <sup>3</sup> | -          | -         | -           | -         | -         |         |
| $Pro + Plc^4$          | -          | -         | -           | -         | -         |         |
| Vly + Bio              | 8 (16.0)   | -         | 1 (11.1)    | 4 (44.4)  | 3 (12.0)  |         |
| Vly + Plc              | 6 (12.0)   | -         | 2 (22.2)    | 2 (22.2)  | 2 (8.0)   | 0.024   |
| Bio + Plc              | -          | -         | -           | -         | -         |         |
| Pro + Vly + Bio        | 5 (10.0)   | -         | 2 (22.2)    | 1 (11.1)  | 2 (8.0)   |         |
| Pro + Bio + Plc        | -          | -         | -           | -         | -         |         |
| Vly + Bio + Plc        | 5 (10.0)   | 2 (22.2)  | 2 (22.2)    | -         | 1 (4.0)   |         |
| Plc + Pro + Vly        | 2 (4.0)    | 1 (14.3)  | 1 (11.1)    | -         | -         |         |
| Pro + Vly + Bio + Plc  | 3 (6.0)    | 2 (28.6)  | -           | -         | 1 (4.0)   |         |
| Total                  | 50 (100.0) | 7 (100.0) | 9 (100.0)   | 9 (100.0) | 25 100.0) |         |

Data reported as: n (%). P value: test  $X^2$ . <sup>1</sup> Prolidase. <sup>2</sup> Vaginolysin. <sup>3</sup> Biofilm. <sup>4</sup> Phospholipase C. NM: normal microbiota. BV: bacterial vaginosis. A positive result was considerate according to the followed criteria:  $\geq 0.1$  O.D for biofilm and prolidase and  $\geq 50\%$  percentage of lysis for Vly.

Table 5. Sensitivity to antibiotics in strains of Gardnerella vaginalis associated to NM and BV.

| Antibiotic    | Total (n=150) | NM<br>(n=100) | BV<br>(n=50) | OR (95%CI)      | Value<br>P |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| Metronidazole |               |               |              |                 |            |
| Sensitive     | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)      |                 |            |
| Resistant     | 150 (100.0)   | 100 (100.0)   | 50 (100.0)   |                 |            |
| Secnidazole   |               |               |              |                 |            |
| Sensitive     | 7 (4.7)       | 4 (4.0)       | 3 (6.0)      | 1               |            |
| Resistant     | 143 (95.3)    | 96 (96.0)     | 47 (94.0)    | .65 (.14-3.03)  | 0.587      |
| Clindamycin   |               |               |              |                 |            |
| Sensitive     | 14 (9.3)      | 11 (11.0)     | 3 (6.0)      | 1               |            |
| Resistant     | 136 (90.7)    | 89 (89.0)     | 47 (94.0)    | 1.93 (.51-7.28) | 0.328      |

Data reported as n and percentage (%). OR: Odd Ratios, CI (confidence interval) and *p* value: logistic regression model adjusted by biotypes. NM: normal microbiota. BV: bacterial vaginosis.

\*Conflict of Interest

**Declarations of interest:** none